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I. ERC BASICS
European Research Council

22 eminent scientists, covering all disciplines
New President: JP Bourguignon
ERC timeline

- **2005**: Appointment of 22 Scientific Council Members
- **2006**: Adoption of FP7 and IDEAS Programme
- **2007**: Launch of ERC & 1st StG call
- **2008**: 1st AdG call
- **2009**: 2nd StG and AdG calls
- **2010**: 3rd StG and AdG calls
- **2011**: 4th StG, AdG calls & 1st PoC call
- **2012**: 5th StG, AdG calls & 1st SyG call
- **2013**: 6th StG, AdG calls & 2nd SyG call & 1st CoG call

**H2020**
[2007-2013] Grants per country

ERC Starting Grant calls 2007-2013
ERC Advanced Grant calls 2008-2013
ERC basics

- Highly recognised by the research community
- 4,000 top researchers funded (65% are at an early-career stage); 58 nationalities represented
- **Highly competitive** (average success rate 12%)
- Working in >500 different institutions in 29 countries
- 50% of grantees in 50 institutions: “Excellence attracts excellence”
- **Benchmarking** effect: impact on national programmes and agencies; national funding for best "runners-up"
- Efficient and fast grant management
Rising number of applications (1)
II. ERC and Horizon 2020
Budget: 30% of H2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Societal Challenges</td>
<td>13.094,81 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Leadership</td>
<td>2.695,99 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellence Science Base</td>
<td>6.162,26 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructures</td>
<td>2.488,01 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>24.441,07 €</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Goals

Excellent Science

- European Research Council (ERC)
  - Frontier research by the best individual teams

- Future and Emerging Technologies (FET)
  - Collaborative research to open new fields of innovation

- Marie S. Curie Actions (MSCA)
  - Opportunities for training and career development

- INFRASTRUCTURES
  - (including e-infrastructure)
  - Ensuring access to world-class facilities
Budget: 70% increase but lower than 2014 (2)
III. WP2014

CONTINUITY
European Research Council

- Scientific **excellence**: sole evaluation criteria (IP and project)
- **Bottom-up approach**: All fields
- **Individual projects (IP)**
- **Attractive funding**: [StG: 1,5 M€] [CoG: 2,0 M€] [AdG: 2,5 M€] 5 years
- **Portability of grants**
- **3rd countries incentives**
ERC Work Programme 2014: Main features

- Publication date of first calls: December 11, 2013
- Three ERC frontier research grants will be available under Work Programme 2014: Starting; Consolidator; and Advanced Grants
- ERC Principal Investigators will also continue to be able to apply for the Proof of Concept Grant, first introduced in 2011
- Overhead: 25%
- Indicative budget for 2015 to help the research community to plan applications
- Update of panel titles, subtitles and descriptors
- Extension of restrictions on applications will apply to the 2015 calls based on the outcome of the evaluation of the 2014 calls
- Open access is now mandatory
- New templates for CV (flexible)
Resubmission Restrictions (3)

- A Principal Investigator whose proposal is evaluated as **category C** in the Starting, Consolidator or Advanced Grant calls for proposals under Work Programme 2014 **may not** submit a proposal to the Starting, Consolidator or Advanced Grant calls for proposals made under Work Programme 2015 and 2016.

- A Principal Investigator whose proposal is finally evaluated as **category B** in the Starting, Consolidator or Advanced Grant calls for proposals under Work Programme 2014 **may not** submit a proposal to the Starting, Consolidator or Advanced Grant calls for proposals made under Work Programme 2015.

- A Principal Investigator whose proposal is finally evaluated as **category A** in the Starting, Consolidator or Advanced Grant calls for proposals under Work Programme 2014 but it is not funded for budgetary reasons **may** submit a proposal to the Starting, Consolidator or Advanced Grant calls for proposals made under Work Programme 2015.
The ERC supports the **principle of open access** to the published output of research, including peer-reviewed articles, monographs, data and data related products such as computer codes, as a fundamental part of its mission. The ERC considers that providing free online access can be the most effective way of ensuring that the fruits of the research it funds can be accessed, read and used as the basis for further research.

The **terms and conditions** laid down in the ERC Model Grant Agreement will address how **scientific publications must be made available through open access**. The ERC also considers it essential that data and data-related products, such as computer codes, be deposited in the relevant databases as soon as possible, although this is not a formal requirement of the Grant Agreement.
Open access: ERC guidelines

- requests that an electronic copy of any research article, monograph or other research publication that is supported in whole, or in part, by ERC funding be deposited in a suitable repository immediately upon publication. **Open access should be provided as soon as possible and in any case no later than six months after the official publication date.** For publications in the Social Sciences and Humanities domain a delay of up to twelve months is acceptable.


- reminds ERC funded researchers that open access fees are eligible costs that can be charged against ERC grants, provided they have been incurred during the duration of the project.

- encourages Host Institutions to cover open access fees of any research publications that are supported in whole, or in part, by ERC funding, which arise in the period up to 24 months after the end of the project.
3 Types of grants + PoC

**Starting Grants**
- starters
- (2-7 years after PhD)
- up to € 2.0 Mio
- for 5 years

**Consolidator Grants**
- consolidators
- (7-12 years after PhD)
- up to € 2.75 Mio
- for 5 years

**Advanced Grants**
- track-record of significant research achievements in the last 10 years
- up to € 3.5 Mio
- for 5 years

**Synergy Grants (not in 2014)**
- 2 – 4 Principal Investigators
- up to € 15.0 Mio for 6 years

**Proof-of-Concept**
- bridging gap between research - earliest stage of marketable innovation
- up to €150,000 for ERC grant holders
# STARTING GRANTS: BASIC PROFILE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>FUNDING LEVEL</strong></th>
<th>1,5 M€ [2,0 M€] 5 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBJETIVE</strong></td>
<td>nurturing the next generation research leaders. To support excellent Principal Investigators at the career stage at which they are starting their own independent research team or programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELEGIBILITY</strong></td>
<td>PhD 2 -7 years [limited reasons for extension].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROFILE</strong></td>
<td>Potential for independence and evidence of maturity Good track-record of early research achievements, appropriate to their research field and career stage <em>At least one major publication without PhD supervisor</em> Commitment to the project &gt; 50%. At least 50% in Europe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CONSOLIDATOR GRANT: BASIC PROFILE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>2,0 M€ [2,75 M€] 5 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OBJECTIVE</td>
<td>Support excellent PI at the career stage at which they may still be consolidating their own independent research team or programme. PI must demonstrate the ground breaking nature, ambition and feasibility of their scientific proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELEGIBILITY</td>
<td>PhD 7 -12 years [limited reasons for extension].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HI support letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROFILE</td>
<td>Independence and evidence of maturity shown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promising track-record of early research achievements, appropriate to their research field and career stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Several publications without PhD supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment to the project &gt; 50%. At least 50% in Europe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# ADVANCED GRANTS: BASIC PROFILE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>FUNDING LEVEL</strong></th>
<th><strong>2,5 M€ [3,5 M€] 5 years</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBJECTIVE</strong></td>
<td>Attract and reward top scientists from anywhere in the world to conduct frontier research in Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELEGIBILITY</strong></td>
<td>No conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRACK RECORD</strong></td>
<td>Strong leadership profile (impact, recognition,...) Excellent track record in recent years (10 yrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 selected publications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 monographs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Or if applicable: Patents/Expeditions/Prizes/Conferences/Academies...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30% of time devoted to the ERC project. 50% in Europe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ERC: 2015 Calendar & Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERC calls</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Call Publication</th>
<th>Submission Deadline(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Starting Grants</strong></td>
<td>410 M€</td>
<td>October 2014</td>
<td>February 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC-2014-StG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consolidator Grants</strong></td>
<td>605 M€</td>
<td>November 2014</td>
<td>March 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC-2014-CoG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advanced Grants</strong></td>
<td>630 M€</td>
<td>February 2015</td>
<td>June 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC-2014-AdG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC-2014-PoC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Host Institution

- HI must be located in an EU Member State or an Associated Country
- Hosts the PI for the duration of the project
- Is a legal entity: university, research center, business research unit, etc.
- Is committed to ensure that the PI may:
  - Apply for funding independently
  - Manage research and funding project
  - Publish independently as senior author
  - Have access to reasonable space and facilities
- Signs Grant Agreement
- Overhead: 25%
ERC Grant Management

- No constraints on eligible costs as long as they are for the execution of the project
- Rules of the HI apply
- High flexibility: aims of the project can be modified through amendments to the grant agreement
- Grants have a light reporting along the project life-time: financial report every 18 months, scientific report at mid-term and end of the project
- Grants have 25-40% pre-financing
- PI is empowered to manage the research activity and the funds of the project
- Grants are portable
IV. Evaluation of ERC proposals

If you are asked to be an ERC panel member, please accept
Evaluation Panels: general features

- 25 Evaluation Panels. 12-15 panel members (PM) within each panel, one of them acting as Panel Chair (PC). Up to 2 PM of the same country is allowed.
- Recruited by the Scientific Council
- Assigned to the panel according to CV and expertise
- Exclusion of reviewers: up to three names, no reason needs to be provided
- Conflict of interests taken into account for each individual proposal
- Panel members are published (ERC web page) once the evaluation is over
- PM cannot submit a proposal during the call they are evaluating
- PM members change in consecutive years
# Submission of proposals

## PART A – online forms

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Proposal and PI info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Host Institution info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Annexes – submitted as .pdf

- Statement of support of HI
- If applicable: explanatory information on ethical issues; copy of PhD (StG, CoG); document for extension of eligibility window (StG, CoG)

## PART B1 – submitted as .pdf

- Extended Synopsis 5 p.
- CV 2 p.
- Track Record 2 p.

## PART B2 – submitted as .pdf

- Scientific Proposal 15 p.
# Submission of proposals

## PART A – online forms
- **A1** Proposal and PI info
- **A2** Host Institution info
- **A3** Budget

## Annexes – submitted as .pdf
- Statement of support of HI
- If applicable: explanatory information on ethical issues; copy of PhD (StG, CoG); document for extension of eligibility window (StG, CoG)

## PART B1 – submitted as .pdf
- Extended Synopsis 5 p.
- CV 2 p.

- **One **\textit{\textbf{estrict}}** single deadline**

- Proposal complete (A+B1+B2) + HI letter and other docs: readable

- **Panel identification and keywords**
Panel Structure

**Life Sciences**
- LS1 Molecular & Structural Biology & Biochemistry
- LS2 Genetics, Genomics, Bioinformatics & Systems Biology
- LS3 Cellular and Developmental Biology
- LS4 Physiology, Pathophysiology & Endocrinology
- LS5 Neurosciences & Neural Disorders
- LS6 Immunity & Infection
- LS7 Diagnostic tools, Therapies & Public Health
- LS8 Evolutionary, Population & Environmental Biology
- LS9 Applied Life Sciences & Biotechnology

**Social Sciences and Humanities**
- SH1 Individuals, Institutions & Markets
- SH2 The Social World, Diversity and Common Ground
- SH3 Environment, Space and Population
- SH4 The Human Mind and its Complexity
- SH5 Cultures & Cultural Production
- SH6 The Study of the Human Past

**Physical Sciences & Engineering**
- PE1 Mathematics
- PE2 Fundamental Constituents of Matter
- PE3 Condensed Matter Physics
- PE4 Physical & Analytical Chemical Sciences
- PE5 Materials & Synthesis
- PE6 Computer Science & Informatics
- PE7 Systems & Communication Engineering
- PE8 Products & Process Engineering
- PE9 Universe Sciences
- PE10 Earth System Science
The importance of Keywords

- Keywords define which PM/external referee will evaluate the proposal. Check them carefully!!!

**PE9**

1. Georges Meylan (Panel Chair)
2. João Manuel Alves
3. Luciana Bianchi
4. Robert H. Brandenberger
5. Marc Chaussidon
6. Carsten Dominik
7. Eva Grebel
8. Luigi Guzzo
9. Richard Harrison
10. Carole Mundell
11. Hagai Netzer
12. Guy Perrin
13. Peter Schneider
14. José-María Torrelles

**PE9**

- PE9_1 Solar and interplanetary physics
- PE9_2 Planetary systems sciences
- PE9_3 Interstellar medium
- PE9_4 Formation of stars and planets
- PE9_5 Astrobiology
- PE9_6 Stars and stellar systems
- PE9_7 The Galaxy
- PE9_8 Formation and evolution of galaxies
- PE9_9 Clusters of galaxies and large scale structures
- PE9_10 High energy and particles astronomy – X-rays, cosmic rays, gamma rays, neutrinos
- PE9_11 Relativistic astrophysics
- PE9_12 Dark matter, dark energy
- PE9_13 Gravitational astronomy
- PE9_14 Cosmology
- PE9_15 Space Sciences
Evaluation Panels

The selection of scientific and scholarly proposals for ERC funding is based on international peer review with excellence as the sole criterion. The ERC uses a typical panel-based system, in which panels of high-level scientists and/or scholars make recommendations for funding.

Domain and panel structure

The ERC panel structure consists of 25 panels.

The panels of each grant are grouped into three disciplinary domains that cover the entire spectrum of science, engineering and scholarship:

1. Social sciences and Humanities (SH)
2. Life sciences (LS)
3. Physical and Engineering Sciences (PE)

Research proposals of a multi and inter disciplinary nature are strongly encouraged throughout the ERC’s schemes. Proposals of this type are evaluated by the ERC’s regular panels with the appropriate external expertise.

Composition of the panels

Each ERC panel consists of a chairman and 10-16 members. The Panel Chair and the Panel Members are selected on the basis of their scientific reputation.

In addition to the Panel Members (who act as “generalists”), the ERC evaluations rely on input from remote experts external to the panel, called referees. They are scientists and scholars who bring in the necessary specialised expertise.

Before the deadline of a call, the names of the panel chairs are published on the ERC website. Similarly, the names of panel members are published, however, after the evaluation process is concluded.

Panel Chairs and Panel Members from the ERC Starting Grant calls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERC-2014-StG</th>
<th>Panel Chairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERC-2013-StG</td>
<td>Panel Chairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panel Members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC-2012-StG</td>
<td>Panel Chairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Panel Members</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Important notice: Due to upgrade and maintenance of the ERC funded projects section, projects signed in 2014 may not appear in the search. We apologize for the inconvenience, but this page will be fully accessible soon.

The ERC operates according to an "investigator-driven", or "bottom-up", approach, allowing researchers to identify new opportunities in any field of research. Accordingly the portfolio of ERC funded projects spans a wide range of topics and research questions.

Since 2007, more than 4,500 projects have been selected to receive ERC funding throughout the EU Member States and the associated countries. The ERC has received over 43,000 project proposals for its calls.

You can use the menu on the left to search quickly and easy ERC funded projects.

- Projects can be filtered according to: funding schemes, call year, and/or country of host institution.
- The "keywords" free text filter can be used to search by project acronym, panel abbreviations (e.g. LS1, PE3 etc...), scientific disciplines, or others. For the panel abbreviations, see here the overview of panel descriptors.

Information displayed is automatically updated through the information available on the CORDIS platform. Please note that only funded projects, whose grant agreements have been signed, appear in this database. For this reason, the total number of projects in this database may differ from the figures provided in the statistics section which include also projects selected for funding whose grant agreements have not been signed yet.

For queries on the content, please use this contact form and select the category 'Web'.
Evaluation process

**STEP I: Part B1**
- Panel Members (10-15 experts)
- Proposal remotely reviewed by 3-4 panel members
- Panel Meeting

**STEP 2: B1+B2**
- Panel Meeting
- Final Meeting

**Interviews**
- New revision by panel members + external referees

**20%**
- Panel Members (10-15 experts)
- Proposal remotely reviewed by 3-4 panel members
- Panel Meeting

**<50%**
- Panel Meeting
- Final Meeting
Evaluation: step 1 & step 2

**STEP I (ONLY B1):**
- a) Extended synopsis
- b) CV IP
- c) Early achievements track record/ 10 year track record

**STEP II (B1 + B2):**
- Scientific Proposal
- Interview (StG/CoG)

- Proposal reviewed by 3-4 PM
- 40-50 proposals per PM
- Individual remote Assessment
- Panel meeting Decision of proposals retained to step 2
- Feedback to applicants (A, B, C)

- Retained proposals are assigned to external referees
- Remote individual assessment by PM + Referees
- Panel meeting + individual interview (StG + CoG)
- Feedback to applicants (A, B)
# Evaluation: questions to be answered

## 1. Research Project

### Ground-breaking nature, ambition and feasibility

**Starting, Consolidator and Advanced**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To what extent does the proposed research address important challenges?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent are the objectives ambitious and beyond the state of the art (e.g. novel concepts and approaches or development across disciplines)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent is the proposed research high risk/high gain?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scientific Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To what extent is the outlined scientific approach feasible (based on the Extended Synopsis)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent is the proposed research methodology appropriate to achieve the goals of the project (based on the full Scientific Proposal)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent does the proposal involve the development of novel methodology (based on the full Scientific Proposal)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To what extent are the proposed timescales and resources necessary and properly justified (based on the full Scientific Proposal)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluation: questions to be answered

2. Principal Investigator

Intellectual capacity, creativity and commitment

Starting and Consolidator

*Intellectual capacity and creativity*

*To what extent has the PI demonstrated the ability to propose and conduct ground-breaking research?*

*To what extent does the PI provide evidence of creative independent thinking?*

*To what extent have the achievements of the PI typically gone beyond the state-of-the-art?*

*Commitment*

*To what extent does the PI demonstrate the level of commitment to the project necessary for its execution and the willingness to devote a significant amount of time to the project (min 50% of the total working time on it and min 50% in an EU Member State or Associated Country) (based on the full Scientific Proposal).*
2. Principal Investigator

Intellectual capacity, creativity and commitment

Advanced

Intellectual capacity and creativity

*To what extent has the PI demonstrated the ability to propose and conduct ground-breaking research?*

*To what extent does the PI provide evidence of creative independent thinking?*

*To what extent have the achievements of the PI typically gone beyond the state-of-the-art?*

*To what extent has the PI demonstrated sound leadership in the training and advancement of young scientists?*

Commitment

*To what extent does the PI demonstrate the level of commitment to the project necessary for its execution and the willingness to devote a significant amount of time to the project (min 30% of the total working time on it and min 50% in an EU Member State or Associated Country) (based on the full Scientific Proposal).*
# Evaluation Timeframe

**Timeframe Starting Grant 2014 Evaluation (ERC-2014-StG)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeframe for sending information to applicants</th>
<th>PE – Physical Sciences &amp; Engineering</th>
<th>LS – Life Sciences</th>
<th>SH – Social Sciences &amp; Humanities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Results of eligibility check (applies only to proposals declared ineligible)</td>
<td>mid-June 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Results of Step 1</td>
<td>mid-July 2014</td>
<td>end-July 2014</td>
<td>early-August 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Step 2 Interviews</td>
<td>end-September 2014</td>
<td>early-October 2014</td>
<td>mid-October 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Results of Step 2</td>
<td>mid-November 2014</td>
<td>end-November 2014</td>
<td>end-November 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This timeframe indicates the dates when we expect to have sent evaluation results to applicants after each evaluation step and it could be subject to changes. Approximate weeks of applicants’ interviews are also provided. Please note that not all results go out at the same time. If you have not heard from us within this timeframe, please check this website for an update.*

www.eshorizonte2020.es
V. NCP Support Services
La generación de nuevo conocimiento, base del crecimiento europeo

EVENTOS
7º Conferencia del Programa Marco para Investigación e Innovación de la UE en España, Horizonte 2020
Ya están disponibles la mayoría de las presentaciones de la 7ª Conferencia del Programa Marco de Investigación e Innovación de la Unión Europea en España.

EVENTOS
Infoday ERC: Programa de Trabajo 2014
El día 11 de diciembre de 2013 tendrá lugar en el Instituto de Salud Carlos III una jornada informativa sobre el Programa de Trabajo 2014 del ERC.
La intervención principal correrá a cargo de D. José Labrador, Jefe del Departamento de Innovación, Organización y Gestión de la Investigación de la ERC.

ACTUALIDAD
Publicación de los borradores de programas de trabajo de Horizonte 2020
La Comisión Europea ya está publicando los borradores finales de los programas de trabajo de Horizonte 2020.
Contienen información sobre las convocatorias de 2014, incluyendo

NOTICIAS
Abierta la inscripción en la base de datos de expertos de Horizonte 2020 de la Comisión Europea
Todos aquellos expertos del mundo académico y empresarial, de diferentes áreas de conocimiento, interesados en evaluar proyectos de Horizonte 2020 y EURATOM, pueden

Proposal pre-screening: Published at www.eshorizonte2020.es

- Who? Any eligible PI asking for a StG or CoG 2014
- Evaluators are expert scientists, but not exactly in the same field. Confidentiality agreement signed.
- Proposal sent through the HI project office at revisiones.erc@oficinaeuropea.es before 11feb (StG), 8apr (CoG)

Infodays

Grant writing workshops or interviews (as organized by local entities)

Mock interviews for those candidates reaching the step 2.
Final consideration

- Think carefully when you should go for the ERC grant (right timing)
- Do prepare it well in advance!!!
Thank you

ldrio@isciii.es
esther.rodriguez@oficinaeuropea.es